

United States Patent and Trademark Office

L

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,352	02/04/2002	Srinivas Pothapragada	07575-033002	8494
26181 75	90 10/18/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			ORTIZ, BELIX M	
PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
		DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/068,352	POTHAPRAGADA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Belix M. Ortiz	2164			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE OF THE OF THE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE OF THE OF THE OF THE OF	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 September 2006.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 2-11,13-16,18 and 20 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-11,13-16, 18, 20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
 2)	atent Application					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. In response to communications files on 1-September-2006. Claims 1, 12, 17, and 19 are cancelled and claims 2, 13-16, and 18 are amended per applicant's request.

Therefore, claims 2-11, 13-16, 18, and 20 are presently pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 2-5, 13-16, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 2 and 13 the phrase "allowed" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). It's unclear what Applicant's intended metes and bounds of the claim are, since the claim appears to cover anything and everything that does not prohibit actions from occurring.

Claims 3-5, 14-16, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as being dependent from rejected dependent claims 2 and 13.

Art Unit: 2164

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 2, 6, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because we have held that the claimed subject matter does not fall within the definition of a "process" under § 101 and is an "abstract idea," and, therefore, it is not a "practical application" of the plan because it does not produce a "concrete and tangible result". The State Street test requires that subject matter be "useful" and "concrete" and "tangible". While the claimed subject matter may be "useful" because it has some utility to society, this is not enough. Therefore, we hold that claims 2-11, 13-16, 18, and 20 are directed to nonstatutory subject matter because they do not recite a "practical application" or produce a "concrete and tangible result" under State Street.

See, State Street, 149 F.3d at 1374-75, 47 USPQ2d at 1602 (Fed.Cir. 1998); In re Toma, 575 F.2d 872, 877-78, 197 USPQ 852, 857 (CCPA 1978); In re Musgrave, 431 F.2d 882, 893, 167 USPQ 280, 289-90 (CCPA 1970). See also In re Schrader, 22 F.3d 290, 297-98, 30 USPQ2d 1455, 1461-62 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (Newman, J., dissenting); Paine, Webber, Jackson & Curtis, Inc. v. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., 564 F. Supp. 1358, 1368-69, 218 USPQ 212, 220 (D. Del. 1983).

Claims 2, 6, and 13, do not produce a "concrete and tangible result".

The phrase "allowed" at the end of claims 2 and 13. Are absent recitation of any code or steps for causing a computer to do anything, instead just ensuring there's no code or steps which prohibit it, there does not appear to be a useful, concrete and tangible result.

Regarding claims 3-5, 7-11, 14-16, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101, as being dependent from rejected independent claims 2, 6, and 13.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 1-September-2006 with respect to the rejected claims in view of the cited references have been fully considered but they are not found persuasive:

The amended claims have been considered but do not place the application in condition for allowance because the claims have 112 and 101 problems.

Conclusion

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/068,352

Art Unit: 2164

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Belix M. Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-4081.

The examiner can normally be reached on moday-friday 9am-5pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

bmo

October 12, 2006

(o l'onex

SUPERAISORY PATENT EXAMINED

Page 5